O.S. 100

SINGLE BENCH

3

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITON NO. 4327 /2005

PETITIONER:-

P.A.No. 4466/05
Presented by Shri Binu Pathak
dated 1-9-05

Jagdamba Shikchan Prasarak Mandal Beed Through Suhas Kadam S/o Baliram Kadam Aged about 26 years, Occupation – Assistant Professor R/O- VIP Road, Raipur, District : Raipur C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

RECEIVED-COPY
1.9.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

1) State of Chhattisgarh Through;- Secretary Department of Agriculture D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralay Raipur (C.G.)

2) Vice Chancellor Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalay Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.)

3) Registrar Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalay Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.)

4) Chairman Board of Management Indira Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalay Krishak Nagar Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

The petitioner above named kindly begs to submit as under:-

XI-HC-22

(102)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 4327/05 **सन् 200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-9-2005

Mrs. Hamida Siddiqui, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. R.R.Sinha, Panel Lawyer takes notice on behalf of the respondent No.1/State.

By this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the propriety and correctness of the orders dated 4-8-2005 (Annexure P-1) and dated 2-8-2005 (Annexure P-2), whereby the intake capacity of the students has been reduced from 96 to 48 and a condition has been imposed that only the students who are *bona fide* residents of Chhattisgarh be admitted and it has also been ordered that there will be no management seat.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that these restrictions were not imposed at the time of the affiliation and by imposing these restrictions the petitioner feels aggrieved as it will be difficult to the petitioner to get the required number of students and the financial interest of the petitioner shall also be affected. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that a representation has already been made by the petitioner to the Registrar of the University and the same requires consideration by the Board of Management. Therefore, the respondent No.4 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 7 days.

The request made by the counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the respondent

XI-HC-22

103

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 4327/05

मामला क्रमांक —2— **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	No.4 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner after considering the points raised therein in accordance with law within a period of 7 (seven) days from today. On the above terms, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

G.Singh

(पीछे देखिये)